(C)

കേരള സർക്കാർ Government of Kerala 2014



Regn. No. KERBIL/2012/45073 dated 5-9-2012 with RNI

Reg. No. KL/TV(N)/634/2012-14

കേരള ഗസററ് KERALA GAZETTE

ആധികാരികമായി പ്രസിദ്ധപ്പെടുത്തുന്നത് PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

	തിരുവനന്തപുരം, ചൊവ്വ Thiruvananthapuram, Tuesday	2014 സെപ്റ്റംബർ 23 23rd September 2014	mm13	1
വാല്യം 3 Vol. III		1190 കന്നി 7 7th Kanni 1190	നമ്പർ No.	37
voi. iii		1936 ആശിനം 1 1st Aswina 1936		

PART I

Notifications and Orders issued by the Government

Labour and Rehabilitation Department Labour and Rehabilitation (A)

ORDERS

(1)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 1064/2014/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 11th August 2014.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an Industrial dispute exists between Sri. S. Loganayagam, General Manager, Visudira Impex Private Limited, No. XI/130-C, K.P. Road, Palakkadavu, Near S.P.M. Auditorium, Kilikolloor, Kollam-691 004 and the worker of the above referred establishment Smt. Prameela Sudheer, Mithun Cottage, Valathungal P.O., Kollam in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said Industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

Annexure

Whether the denial of Employment of Smt. Prameela Sudheer, Accountant in M/s. Visudira Impex Private Limited by the management of Visudira Impex Private Limited is justifiable? If not what relief she is entitled to get?

(2)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 1067/2014/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 11th August 2014.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an Industrial dispute exists between the Manager, Express Publications (Madurai) Limited (The New Indian Express), Express House, Kaloor, Kochi-17 and the worker of the above referred establishment Smt. S. Sarojini, House No. 54/2083, Plot No. 37, Kudumbi Colony, Kochi-20 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said Industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Ernakulam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of wages to Smt. S. Sarojini according to various wage board recommendation is justifiable or not? If not, what are the reliefs she is entitled to?

(3)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 1068/2014/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 11th August 2014.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an Industrial dispute exists between the Manager, Express Publications (Madurai) Limited (The New Indian Express), Express House, Kaloor, Kochi-17 and the worker of the above referred establishment Smt.M.K. Hymavathy, Karathattuparambu Manakkattu Veedu, Chittur Road, Near Semitheri, Kochi-18 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said Industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Ernakulam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

Annexure

Whether the denial of wages to Smt. M.K. Hymavathy according to various wage board recommendation is justifiable or not? If not, what are the reliefs she is entitled to?

(4)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 1070/2014/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 11th August 2014.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an Industrial dispute exists between the Manager, Express Publications (Madurai) Limited (The New Indian Express), Express House, Kaloor, Kochi-17 and the worker of the above referred establishment Smt. K.K. Thankamma, Panakkal Veedu, Nadakkavu P.O., Udayamperoor in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said Industrial dispute for adjudication; Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Ernakulam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of wages to Smt. K.K. Thankamma according to various wage board recommendation is justifiable or not? If not, what are the reliefs she is entitled to?

(5)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 1072/2014/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 11th August 2014.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists betwee The Manager, Su and Park Institutions Private Limited, Near N.S.S. Hospital, Edappally P.O., Kochi-682 024 and the workman of the above referred establishment Kum. P. K. Nadeera, Pallithottam Veettil, Kollamkodi mugal, Thrikkakkara P.O., in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Ernakulam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of Employment to Kum. P. K. Nadeera, by the management of Su and Park Institutions Private Limited, Edappally is justifiable or not? If not, what are the reliefs she is entitled to?

(6)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 1073/2014/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 11th August 2014.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the H.R. Manager, G4S-Secure Solutions (India) Private Limited, House No. 34/259, N.H. Bypass, Jawan Road, Edappally, Ernakulam and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the Chief Patron, All Kerala Security Services Employees Association, INTUC, Labour Port Office, Ernakulam North, Kalabhavan Road, Kochin-18 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order:

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct

that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Ernakulam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period three months.

Annexure

Whether the transfer of Sri Joshwa, C.J. by the management of G4S-Secure Solutions (India) Private Limited, House No. 34/259, N.H. Bypass, Jawan Road, Edappally, Ernakulam and subsequently denying employment at Ernakulam is justifiable or not? If not, what are the relief he is entitled to?

(7)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 1083/2014/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 11th August 2014.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between The Manager, Sri Sankara Vidyalaya, Kizhakkenada, Vaikom-686 141 and the workman of the above referred establishment Smt. Ambika Devi, C., Sivatheertham, Kizhakkenada, Vaikom P.O., Kottayam-686 141 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Idukki (Peermade). The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

Annexure

Whether the denial of Employment to Smt. Ambika Devi, C. Clerk with effect from 13-5-2013 by the management of Sri Sankara Vidyalaya Vaikom is justifiable? If not, what relief the worker is entitled to?

(8)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 1084/2014/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 11th August 2014.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Sri Thomaskutty, Proprietor, May Fair Pharma, Pharmaceutical Distributors, Plavaramukalil Building, Door No. K.P.3/1506, Pulamon, Kottarakkara, Kollam and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri Aloysious Gonsalvez, Bright House, Kripa Nagar-35, Kottarakkara P.O. in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment of Sri Aloysious Gonsalvez, Medicine Supplier, May Fair Pharma, Pulamon, Kottarakkara by the employer is justifiable? If not, what relief he is entitled to get?

By order of the Governor, RAJANIKANT R. BALIGA, Under Secretary to Government.